

	<b>Interview Summary</b>	
	Application No. 10/022,423	Applicant(s) FORMAN ET AL.
	Examiner Lawrence D. Ferguson	Art Unit 1774

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence D. Ferguson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Walter Udell.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: All.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 10/022,423

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued Griesbach does not disclose a continuous web of substantially constant thickness packaging material with strip length being secured transversely to the running length of said web of packaging material along the strip top edge and being substantially unsecured along its bottom edge, which can be seen in Figure 3. Applicant proposed amending the claims to include "a plurality of discrete strips of substantially constant thickness flexible material" along with other proposed amendments to overcome the Griesbach reference, which Examiner acknowledged does not disclose a plurality of strips at discrete intervals.